



Leo Hiemstra, Stephen Chong, Ken Arentsen, Maartje Kessels Measuring global production arrangements in the Dutch National Accounts

IARIW August 2016

Comments from Robert Dippelsman, IMF Statistics Department, August 2016

The views expressed herein are those of the author and should not be attributed to the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management.

Reproductions of this material, or any parts of it, should refer to the IMF Statistics Department as the source.



Measuring global production arrangements

- Growth in manufacturing arrangements involving more than one country
- Classic version:
 - Owner in Country A
 - Assembly in Country B
 - Customers in Country A



Measuring global production arrangements

- *More complex versions:*
 - *Assembly in two or more countries - Country A and B; or B and C*
 - *Customers in two or more countries - Country A, B, and X*
 - *Materials or final product may never pass through Country A*

A network diagram logo in the top-left corner, consisting of a complex web of interconnected nodes and lines, with nodes colored in a gradient from green to red.

Measuring global production arrangements

- Under global production arrangements, *physical location* can differ from *economic ownership*.



Measuring global production arrangements

- For data sources in the Netherlands :
 - Territorial basis
 - Foreign trade statistics (ITGS) (physical movements across border)
 - Prodcom (goods produced in Netherlands)
 - Ownership basis
 - ❖ Business surveys
 - Structural Business Statistics (SBS)
 - Short-Term Business Statistics (STS),
 - Survey of Finance of Enterprises (SFO)
 - Foreign Trade in Services (ITSS)



Measuring global production arrangements

- Inward processing
- Outward processing
- Factory-less goods producers
- Merchanting
- Combination of above

A network diagram logo in the top left corner, consisting of a complex web of interconnected nodes and lines, with nodes colored in shades of green, yellow, and red.

Measuring global production arrangements

- The contribution of this paper
 - Six interesting case studies in detail
 - How Statistics Netherlands cleverly uses the alternative data sources to identify:
 - ❖ the type of production arrangement
 - ❖ misreporting



Measuring global production arrangements

- Large and Complex Cases Unit
 - For largest and most complex 300 enterprises
 - Personal visits to resolve anomalies
 - Found misunderstanding, inconsistencies when responses from different divisions within the company
 - Paper raises the question about undetected problems in smaller enterprises



Patterns of relationships between sources

Example: Inward processor

- SBS shows: Production of services, limited use of raw materials
- ITSS shows: Export of services

- Prodcom: Production of goods
- ITGS: Imports of raw materials, export of finished goods

→ Can use these relationships to identify this type of arrangement.



Patterns of relationships between sources

Table 2 shows “fingerprints” for inward processors, outward processors, factory-less goods producers, and merchants.

Patterns of relationships between sources

Example: Fingerprint of an inward processor:

- a) Exports ITGS > Exports SBS
- b) Imports ITGS > Imports SBS
- c) Turnover Prodcom > Turnover SBS
- d) Exports manufact. services SBS = Turnover SBS
- e) Turnover Prodcom – Exports ITGS \approx Domestic use



Case study 1

Case study 1 (Company A) is an inward processor, but:

- Part of the processed goods are bought and sold by company A (wholesale trade activities)
- The principal provides all raw materials, but some are bought in the Netherlands

Case study 1

Case study 1 (Company A) is an inward processor, but:

- a) Exports ITGS > Exports SBS
- b) Imports ITGS > Imports SBS
- c) Turnover Prodcom > Turnover SBS
- d) Exports manufact. services SBS = Turnover SBS
- e) Turnover Prodcom – Exports ITGS \approx Domestic use





Case study 1

- The case study continues in the paper with data collection needed for NA purposes:
 - activities as processor
 - activities as a trader



Case study 2

Case study 2 (Company B) :

	Revenue	Exports	Inventories
2011 Q1	39	26	6
2011 Q2	44	33	5
2011 Q3	41	30	6
2011 Q4	44	33	5
2012 Q1	86	76	2
2012 Q2	11	11	0
2012 Q3	11	11	0
2012 Q4	9	9	0

STS



Summary and Conclusions

- Complex and diverse arrangements that statisticians need to understand.
- Cross-checking of sources at the individual company level is a powerful tool for detection of these arrangements and ensuring they are reported correctly
- Resource intensive to get right – study of different data sources, company visits



Issues for Discussion

- Applicability to other countries



Issues for Discussion

- Paper says implementing 2008 SNA is time-consuming and not straightforward.

Issues for Discussion

- BUT - Are there revisions to classifications and standards that would help?
 - Seems to be a product of real world complexity
 - Bringing back 1993 SNA would not solve the problems
 - Additional sub-classification to show status in global value chains?
 - ❖ E.g., “Textiles (inward processing + factory-less production)”
 - ❖ Also useful information for analysis on global production processes